| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | 10/050,752 | BRENNAN, SEAN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI | 2439 | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after-nonfinal</u> | | | |
| (1) <u>MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Thomas Brennan</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 14 April 2009 | Time: <u>10 a.m.</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| | | | |
| Claims discussed: 49-50 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if a | appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted to suggest a potential amendment to put claims 49-50 in condition for allowance based on the allowability determined to include passing multiple authentication method data to a first web site and communicating data for a second of that multiple to a second web site if a first web site authenticates the user. Applicant agreed to the attached Examiner's amendment.